

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.           | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/938,280   | 08/23/2001      | Satoshi Ohta         | 2019.005                      | 1196             |  |
| 75   | 7590 11/19/2004 |                      | EXAMINER                      |                  |  |
| PATTERNSON, THUENTE, SKAAR, & CHRISTENSEN, P.A.                      |                 |                      | LUGO, CARLOS                  |                  |  |
| 4800 IDS CENTER<br>80 SOUTH 8TH STREET<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100 |                 | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER                  |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      | 3676  DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004 |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      |                               |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u>   |   |   |             |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|-------------|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |             |  |  |  |
|  | 09/938,280  | OHTA ET AL.   | 9           |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |             |  |  |  |
|  | Carlos Lugo   | 3676  |             |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply  | ppears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add  | lress       |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI<br>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1<br>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to<br>ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da<br>d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror<br>te, cause the application to become ABANDON | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |             |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 i  | May 2004.   |   |             |  |  |  |
| _  | is action is non-final.   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow  | ance except for formal matters, p   | rosecution as to the  | merits is   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |             |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-20</u> is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-20</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  | awn from consideration.   |   |             |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.  | e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected<br>e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se<br>ction is required if the drawing(s) is o   | ee 37 <sub>,</sub> CFR 1.85(a).<br>bjected to. See 37 CF                                      | R 1.121(d). |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the priority document according to the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in Applica<br>ority documents have been receiv<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | tion No<br>ved in this National s   | Stage       |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) [] Interview Summa<br>Paper No(s)/Mail I   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/03 Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |   |   | -152)       |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on May 18, 2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 6,048,002 to Ohta et al (Ohta) in view of US Pat No 6,176,528 to Taga, US Pat No 4,796,932 to Tame and further in view of US Pat No 5,411,302 to Shimada.

Regarding claims 1,3-16 and 20, Ohta discloses a door closer (103) comprising a latch (108) engaging an engagement member (4), an urging member (111) that urges the latch towards the initial position, a lever action pawl (110 and 120), an actuation mechanism (114,116,117,118 and 122), a motor (M) and a controller to control the motor (Figures 1,13A-21 and Col. 10 Line 2 to Col. 21 Line 10).

However, Ohta fails to disclose that the latch mechanism includes a courtesy switch that detect the door in a predetermined position, that the courtesy switch controls the action of the lever action pawl through the actuation mechanism and that the controller includes a timer.

Taga teaches that is known in the art to have a courtesy switch to detect the door is in a predetermined position separate from the release position in the door opening direction.

Tame also teaches that is known in the art to have a courtesy switch (114 and 118) that controls the action of the lever action pawl (56) through an actuation mechanism (Col. 4 Lines 7-33).

Shimada teaches that is known in the art to have a timer (106) in a controller (100) of a door closer device.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a courtesy switch, as taught by Taga, into a latching device as described by Ohta, in order to detect when the door is closed or opened.

Also, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a courtesy switch, as taught by Tame, into a latching device as described by Ohta, in order to prevent inadvertent closing of the door.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a timer, as taught by Shimada, into a latching device as described by Ohta, in order to set time to the latching operations.

As to claims 17-19, Ohta discloses the use of a positive temperature coefficient thermistor (147).

4. Applicant's arguments filed on May 18, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's arguments that Ohta fails to disclose a lever action pawl (Page 12 Line 19), Ohta discloses a lever action pawl (110 and 120, Figure 14).

## Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo whose telephone number is 703-305-9747. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/938,280

Art Unit: 3676

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5771.

(·C.

Carlos Lugo AU 3676

November 15, 2004.

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

aniel P Stodola

Page 5